

RESEARCH LETTER

Accelerated Surgery Versus Standard Care in Hip Fracture (HIP ATTACK-1): A Kidney Substudy of a Randomized Clinical Trial

To the Editor:

Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a lesser-known complication of hip fracture that may come about owing to decreased kidney perfusion and heightened inflammation from trauma, pain, bleeding, and fasting.^{1,2} Approximately 15%-20% of patients undergoing surgery for a hip fracture develop AKI, with 0.5%-1.8% receiving dialysis.³⁻⁵ A strategy of accelerating the time to surgery after a hip fracture was recently compared with standard care in HIP ATTACK-1 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier [NCT02027896](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT02027896)), a multinational randomized clinical trial.^{6,7} Enrollment occurred March 2014 through May 2019, and 2,970 patients from 69 hospitals in 17 countries were randomized. Compared with standard care, accelerated medical assessment and surgical repair did not significantly lower the risk of the 2 co-primary outcomes (mortality and major perioperative complications), although it did decrease the risk of delirium, moderate-to-severe pain, and urinary tract infection, and resulted in faster mobilization and a shorter hospital stay.⁷

We conducted a prespecified kidney substudy of HIP ATTACK-1 to examine the effect of accelerated surgical treatment versus standard care on AKI in patients with a hip fracture.⁸ Eligibility criteria for the main trial and the kidney substudy are provided in [Table S1](#), and substudy methods are detailed in [Item S1](#); minor changes in substudy execution compared to the published protocol⁸ are summarized in [Table S2](#). Briefly, eligible patients aged ≥ 45 years who presented to the emergency department with a hip fracture were randomly allocated (1:1) to receive accelerated surgical repair or standard care. The primary outcome of the substudy was AKI, defined as an Scr increase (from the prerandomization value) of ≥ 0.3 mg/dL (26.5 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) within 48 hours after randomization, or an increase of $\geq 50\%$ within 7 days after randomization.⁹ Six secondary definitions of AKI (listed in [Item S1](#)) were also examined.

Of 2,970 patients randomized in HIP ATTACK-1, 2,445 (82%) were included in the substudy ([Figure S1](#)). Baseline characteristics of patients in the substudy are shown in [Table 1](#) (corresponding data for the main trial are in [Table S3](#)). The baseline, prerandomization Scr was obtained at the time of hospital admission for 99% of patients and before the hospital admission for 1%. The accelerated surgery group had surgery earlier than the standard care group and the mean between-group difference in the time from hip fracture diagnosis to surgery was 18 (95% CI, 15-20) hours.

AKI occurred in 13.5% (163/1,204) in the accelerated surgery group and in 14.9% (179/1,203) in the standard care group (postrandomization Scr was missing in 38 [1.6%] patients and was imputed using fully conditional specification for the primary analysis as described in [Item S1](#)). The relative risk (RR) was 0.91 (95% CI, 0.74-1.13), and the absolute risk difference was 1.3% (95% CI, -1.5% to 4.1%; [Table 2](#)). Results were similar in sensitivity analyses ([Tables S4-S5](#)). The mean between-group difference in the percentage and absolute change in Scr to the peak value was -1.5 (95% CI, -5.2 to 2.2) and -1.9 (95% CI, -5.1 to 1.3), respectively. The time to AKI after randomization in each group is shown in [Figure S2](#). Pre-existing chronic kidney disease (CKD) did not significantly modify the effect of the accelerated surgery intervention versus standard care on AKI ([Table S6](#)), nor did prerandomization eGFR considered as a continuous variable ($P = 0.1$ for the interaction between the group allocation and eGFR).

The strengths of this substudy include its randomized concealed allocation, recruitment from 69 hospitals in 17 countries, and standardized collection of postrandomization Scr. Three limitations merit discussion. First, baseline Scr was obtained at the time of hospital admission for 99% of patients. Depending on the circumstances of the fracture, instability in the baseline measurement could complicate detecting an acute rise in postrandomization Scr, which is needed to identify AKI. That said, the average baseline Scr was 0.96 mg/dL, a level considered normal. Second, urine output data were not collected, given challenges with accurate measurement in an international setting. Third, we were underpowered to detect an RR reduction $< 30\%$ for the primary AKI outcome. Therefore, we conducted prespecified analyses of the percentage change and the absolute change to the peak postrandomization Scr; however, no statistically significant between-group differences were observed.

In summary, the risk of perioperative AKI was not significantly different in patients allocated to accelerated surgery versus standard care for hip fracture. AKI occurred nearly twice as often in patients with versus without CKD (21% vs 11%); however, regardless of CKD status, the risk of AKI was not significantly lower with accelerated surgery versus standard care.

Flavia K. Borges, MD, PhD, P.J. Devereaux, MD, PhD, Meaghan Cuerden, PhD, Jessica M. Sontrop, PhD, Mohit Bhandari, MD, PhD, Ernesto Guerra-Farfán, MD, Ameen Patel, MD, Alben Sigamani, MD, MSc, Masood Umer, MD, John Neary, MD, Maria Tiboni, MD, Vikas Tandon, MD, Mmampapatla Thomas Ramokgopa, MD, Parag Sancheti, MD, Abdel-Rahman Lawendy, MD, PhD, Mariano Balaguer-Castro, MD, Richard Jenkinson, MD, MSc, Paweł Ślęczka, MD, Amer Nabi Nur, MD, Gavin C.A. Wood, MD, Robert J. Feibel, MD, John Stephen McMahon, MD, Bruce M. Biccard, MD, PhD, Alessandro Ortalda, MD, Wojciech Szczeklik, MD, Chew Yin Wang, MBChB, Jordi Tomás-

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics and Surgical Details

| | Accelerated Surgery (n = 1,216) | Standard Care (n = 1,229) |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Sociodemographic characteristics | | |
| Age, y | 79 ± 12 | 79 ± 11 |
| Female sex | 852 (70%) | 852 (69%) |
| Ethnicity | | |
| White | 755 (62%) | 767 (62%) |
| Asian | 363 (30%) | 360 (29%) |
| Hispanic/Latino | 50 (4%) | 60 (5%) |
| Black/African descent | 39 (3%) | 38 (3%) |
| Other | 9 (1%) | 4 (<1%) |
| Health history before hip fracture | | |
| History of tobacco use in 5 years before randomization | 338 (28%) | 294 (24%) |
| Residing in a nursing home | 219 (18%) | 234 (19%) |
| Comorbidities | | |
| Hypertension | 736 (61%) | 750 (61%) |
| Needing assistance with activities of daily living | 392 (32%) | 431 (35%) |
| Diabetes | 271 (22%) | 250 (20%) |
| Dementia | 210 (17%) | 226 (18%) |
| Osteoporosis | 201 (17%) | 200 (16%) |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 127 (10%) | 106 (9%) |
| Stroke | 107 (9%) | 95 (8%) |
| Myocardial infarction | 103 (8%) | 93 (8%) |
| Hip fracture | 80 (7%) | 104 (8%) |
| Congestive heart failure | 86 (7%) | 61 (5%) |
| Coronary revascularization | 77 (6%) | 72 (6%) |
| Chronic atrial fibrillation | 63 (5%) | 65 (5%) |
| Active cancer ^a | 62 (5%) | 61 (5%) |
| Transient ischemic attack | 60 (5%) | 67 (5%) |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 37 (3%) | 44 (4%) |
| Aortic stenosis | 29 (2%) | 25 (2%) |
| Deep venous thrombosis | 21 (2%) | 26 (2%) |
| Subarachnoid hemorrhage | 15 (1%) | 7 (1%) |
| Pulmonary embolism | 13 (1%) | 8 (1%) |
| Kidney failure receiving dialysis | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Coronary artery bypass graft | 42 (3%) | 37 (3%) |
| Percutaneous coronary intervention | 47 (4%) | 41 (3%) |
| New diagnoses from time of hip fracture until randomization | | |
| Infection | 27 (2%) | 27 (2%) |
| Atrial fibrillation | 8 (1%) | 9 (1%) |
| Significant hyponatremia or hypernatremia | 8 (1%) | 9 (1%) |
| Significant hypokalemia or hyperkalemia | 9 (1%) | 4 (<1%) |
| Non-ST-elevation MI without mechanical complication ^b | 10 (1%) | 4 (<1%) |
| MI with ST elevation or mechanical complication ^b | 2 (<1%) | 2 (<1%) |
| Congestive heart failure | 0 (0%) | 2 (<1%) |
| Glasgow Coma Scale <12 of unknown origin | 2 (<1%) | 1 (<1%) |
| Subarachnoid hemorrhage | 1 (<1%) | 2 (<1%) |
| Stroke | 0 (0%) | 1 (<1%) |
| Expanded acute medical condition ^c | 66 (5%) | 56 (5%) |
| Prerandomization physiological measurements | | |
| Body mass index, kg/m ² | 24 [21, 27] | 24 [21, 27] |
| Obesity: body mass index ≥30 kg/m ² | 129 (11%) | 110 (10%) |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 141 [130, 160] | 141 [126, 160] |

(Continued)

Table 1 (Cont'd). Baseline Characteristics and Surgical Details

| | Accelerated Surgery (n = 1,216) | Standard Care (n = 1,229) |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 78 [70, 86] | 77 [69, 85] |
| Heart rate, beats per minute | 80 [71, 89] | 80 [70, 90] |
| Prerandomization laboratory measurements | | |
| Hemoglobin, g/L | 121 ± 18 | 121 ± 18 |
| Scr, mg/dL | 0.95 ± 0.40 | 0.96 ± 0.41 |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ² | 69 ± 23 | 68 ± 22 |
| <30 mL/min/1.73 m ² | 59 (5%) | 60 (5%) |
| 30-44 mL/min/1.73 m ² | 143 (12%) | 156 (13%) |
| 45-59 mL/min/1.73 m ² | 212 (17%) | 248 (20%) |
| 60-89 mL/min/1.73 m ² | 596 (49%) | 582 (47%) |
| ≥90 mL/min/1.73 m ² | 206 (17%) | 183 (15%) |
| Medications taken at least once 7 days to 24h before surgery | | |
| ACEI/ARB | 412 (34%) | 410 (33%) |
| Antiplatelet agent | 351 (29%) | 322 (26%) |
| Statin | 310 (25%) | 327 (27%) |
| β-blocker | 286 (24%) | 274 (22%) |
| Prophylactic antithrombotic | 59 (5%) | 156 (13%) |
| Therapeutic dose vitamin K antagonist | 37 (3%) | 43 (4%) |
| Therapeutic non-vitamin K antagonist anticoagulant | 25 (2%) | 28 (2%) |
| Prothrombin complex concentrate | 3 (<1%) | 2 (<1%) |
| Medications taken ≤24h before surgery | | |
| ACEI/ARB | 288 (24%) | 244 (20%) |
| Antiplatelet agent | 201 (17%) | 130 (11%) |
| Statin | 223 (18%) | 226 (18%) |
| β-blocker | 215 (18%) | 216 (18%) |
| Prophylactic antithrombotic | 123 (10%) | 325 (26%) |
| Therapeutic dose vitamin K antagonist | 19 (2%) | 4 (<1%) |
| Therapeutic non-vitamin K antagonist anticoagulant | 22 (2%) | 29 (2%) |
| Prothrombin complex concentrate | 16 (1%) | 8 (1%) |
| Type of fracture^d | | |
| Intertrochanteric | 631 (52%) | 638 (52%) |
| Femoral neck | 527 (43%) | 528 (43%) |
| Subtrochanteric | 76 (6%) | 71 (6%) |
| Other | 2 (<1%) | 1 (<1%) |
| Intraoperative anesthetic | | |
| Neuraxial | 766 (63%) | 782 (64%) |
| General | 406 (34%) | 395 (33%) |
| General and neuraxial | 36 (3%) | 36 (3%) |
| Type of hip surgery performed | | |
| Open reduction and internal fixation | 779 (64%) | 777 (63%) |
| Arthroplasty | 427 (35%) | 434 (35%) |
| Hemiarthroplasty | 351 (29%) | 356 (29%) |
| Total hip arthroplasty | 71 (6%) | 77 (6%) |
| Other arthroplasty | 5 (<1%) | 1 (<1%) |
| Other | 3 (<1%) | 1 (<1%) |

Data presented as mean ± SD, median [25th, 75th percentile], or number (percentage). Body mass index was missing in 137 participants (6% in accelerated surgery group and 6% in standard care group); missing data on other variables was <2%. Abbreviations: ACEI/ARB, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate (calculated with CKD-EPI equation¹⁰); MI, myocardial infarction; Scr, serum creatinine concentration.

^aA patient with a diagnosis of cancer who is receiving, or has received, active cancer treatment (eg, chemotherapy, radiation, or surgery) in the previous 6 months.

^bMechanical complication included acute papillary muscle rupture or ventricular septal defect.

^cOccurred after the hip fracture but before randomization (eg, cardiac or central nervous system conditions; full details in Item S2).

^dSome patients had more than 1 type of fracture.

Table 2. Accelerated Hip-Repair Surgery Versus Standard Care and the Risk of AKI

| | No. (%) of events ^a | | RR (95% CI) ^b | P ^c |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | Accelerated Surgery Group (n = 1,216) | Standard Care Group (n = 1,229) | | |
| AKI | 163/1,204 (13.5%) | 179/1,203 (14.9%) | 0.91 (0.74-1.13) | 0.4 |
| AKI or death ^d | 166/1,216 (13.7%) | 180/1,229 (14.7%) | 0.93 (0.77-1.12) | – |
| Stage 2 AKI or higher ^e | 35/1,215 (2.9%) | 38/1,228 (3.1%) | 0.93 (0.65-1.33) | – |
| Stage 3 AKI ^f | 5/1,215 (0.4%) | 12/1,228 (1.0%) | 0.42 (0.20-0.91) | – |
| Receipt of dialysis ^g | 0/1,213 (0.0%) | 1/1,226 (0.1%) | – | – |

^aBased on all participants with at least 1 postrandomization Scr in the first 7 days after randomization.

^bA modified Poisson regression model that accounts for the treating center and planned surgery type (open reduction and internal fixation or arthroplasty) was used to estimate the RR and 95% CI for AKI comparing the randomized groups. A missing outcome variable was imputed using multiple imputation; standard methods were used to combine estimates from each imputed dataset, as detailed in [Item S2](#). For the outcomes of AKI or death, stage 2 AKI or higher, and stage 3 AKI, complete-case analysis was used, since <2 patients had missing outcome status.

^cCalculated for the primary outcome only; for this analysis, missing data on postrandomization Scr (12 [1.0%] in the accelerated surgery group; 26 [2.1%] in the standard care group) were imputed using fully conditional specification (detailed in [Item S2](#)).

^dA composite of AKI (primary outcome definition) or death within 48 hours after randomization. For patients with at least 48 hours of follow-up postrandomization (to assess the death outcome) who were missing all postrandomization Scr, a value of 0 (no AKI or death within 48 hours) was imputed.

^eDefined as a postrandomization increase in Scr of $\geq 100\%$ from the prerandomization value within 7 days after randomization or an increase to an absolute value of ≥ 4.0 mg/dL (353.6 μ mol/L) within 7 days after randomization (when the primary outcome definition of AKI was met) or receipt of dialysis within 30 days after randomization. A patient was considered to have observed outcome data if ≥ 1 Scr was provided within 7 days after randomization or the patient was followed for ≥ 30 days after randomization to assess dialysis status.

^fDefined as a postrandomization increase in Scr of $\geq 200\%$ from the prerandomization value within 7 days after randomization or an increase to an absolute value of ≥ 4.0 mg/dL (353.6 μ mol/L) within 7 days after randomization or receipt of dialysis within 30 days after randomization. A patient was considered to have observed outcome data if ≥ 1 Scr was provided within 7 days after randomization or the patient was followed for ≥ 30 days after randomization to assess dialysis status.

^gReceipt of dialysis within 30 days after randomization. A patient was considered to have observed outcome data if the patient was followed for ≥ 30 days after randomization to assess dialysis status.

Hernández, MD, Jessica Vincent, MSc, Valerie Harvey, BSc, Shirley Pettit, RN, Kumar Balasubramanian, MSc, Gerard Slobogean, MD, MPH, and Amit X. Garg, MD, PhD, on behalf of the HIP ATTACK-1 Investigators

Supplementary Material

Supplementary File (PDF)

Figures S1-S2; Items S1-S3; Tables S1-S6.

Article Information

Authors' Affiliations: From the Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (FKB, AP, JN, MT, VT); Department of Perioperative Medicine, Population Health Research Institute, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (FKB, PJD, AP, JV, VH, SP, KB); Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (FKB, PJD, AXG); Division of Nephrology, London Health Sciences Centre, London, Ontario, Canada (MC, JMS, AXG); Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada (JMS, AXG); Department of Surgery, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (MB); Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, University Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain (EG-F, JT-H); Clinical Research, Narayana Hrudayalaya Limited, Bangalore, India (AS); Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan (MU); Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital, Johannesburg, South Africa (MTR); Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa (MTR); Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Sancheti Institute for Orthopaedics & Rehabilitation, Pune, India (PS); Department of Surgery, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada (A-RL); Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, Parc Taulí Hospital Universitari, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Sabadell, Spain (MB-C); Department of Surgery and Institute of Health Policy Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (RJ); Department of Surgery, Sunnybrook Health

Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (RJ); Orthopaedic Department, SPZOZ, Myślenice, Poland (PŚ); Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Shifa International Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan (ANN); Department of Surgery, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada (GCAW); Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada (RJF); Department of Surgery, Markham Stouffville Hospital, Markham, Ontario, Canada (JSM); Department of Anaesthesia and Perioperative Medicine, University of Cape Town, Observatory, South Africa (BMB); Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy (AO); Center for Intensive Care and Perioperative Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland (WS); Department of Anaesthesiology, University of Malaya, Faculty of Medicine, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (CYW); Department of Orthopedics, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland (GS).

Address for Correspondence: Amit X. Garg, MD, PhD, London Health Sciences Centre and Western University, Westminster Tower, London Health Sciences Centre, 800 Commissioner's Rd East, ELL-215, London, ON N6A 4G5, Canada. Email: amit.garg@lhsc.on.ca

Authors' Contributions: Research idea and study design: AXG, FKB, JMS, PJD; data acquisition: ANN, AO, AP, ARL, AS, AXG, BMB, CYW, EGF, FKB, GCAW, GS, JN, JSM, JTH, JV, KB, MB, MBC, MT, MTR, MU, PJD, PSancheti, PSłęczka, RJ, RJF, SP, VH, VT, WS; data analysis/interpretation: AXG, FKB, JMS, KB, MC, PJD; statistical analysis: KB, MC; supervision or mentorship: AXG, FKB, JMD, PJD. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and agrees to be personally accountable for their own contributions and to ensure that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work, even one in which the author was not directly involved, are appropriately investigated and resolved, including with documentation in the literature if appropriate.

Additional Information: A list of the HIP ATTACK-1 collaborators is in [Item S3](#).

Support: This work was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Foundation Award, CIHR's Strategy for

Patient-Oriented Research (SPOR), through the Ontario SPOR Support Unit (OSSU), as well as the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, and a grant from Smith & Nephew to recruit 300 patients in Spain. Grants to support this substudy were provided by the Department of Medicine at Western University. FKB holds a McMaster University Department of Medicine Career Research Award. PJD was supported by a Tier 1 Canada Research Chair in Perioperative Medicine. AXG was supported by the Dr Adam Linton Chair in Kidney Health Analytics and a CIHR Clinician Investigator Award. No funding entity had a role in data collection, statistical analysis, manuscript writing, or the decision to publish.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare that they have no other relevant financial interests.

Disclaimer: The conduct, trial analyses, the composing of this manuscript, and its final contents are solely the authors' incumbency.

Peer Review: Received August 12, 2021. Evaluated by 2 external peer reviewers, with direct editorial input from a Statistics/Methods Editor, an Associate Editor, and the Editor-in-Chief. Accepted in revised form January 14, 2022.

Publication Information: © 2022 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. Published online month xx, xxxx with doi 10.1053/j.ajkd.2022.01.431

References

1. Sharfuddin AA, Molitoris BA. Pathophysiology of ischemic acute kidney injury. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2011;7(4):189-200.
2. Kinsey GR, Li L, Okusa MD. Inflammation in acute kidney injury. *Nephron Exp Nephrol.* 2008;109(4):e102-e107.
3. Bennet SJ, Berry OMB, Goddard J, Keating JF. Acute renal dysfunction following hip fracture. *Injury.* 2010;41(4):335-338.
4. Ulucay C, Eren Z, Kaspar EC, et al. Risk factors for acute kidney injury after hip fracture surgery in the elderly individuals. *Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil.* 2012;3(4):150-156.
5. Hong SE, Kim T-Y, Yoo J-H, et al. Acute kidney injury can predict in-hospital and long-term mortality in elderly patients undergoing hip fracture surgery. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(4):e0176259.
6. Borges FK, Bhandari M, Patel A, et al. Rationale and design of the HIP fracture Accelerated surgical Treatment And Care track (HIP ATTACK) Trial: a protocol for an international randomised controlled trial evaluating early surgery for hip fracture patients. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9(4):e028537.
7. Borges FK, Bhandari M, Guerra-Farfan E, et al. Accelerated surgery versus standard care in hip fracture (HIP ATTACK): an international, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10225):698-708.
8. Borges FK, Devereaux PJ, Cuerden M, et al. Effects of accelerated versus standard care surgery on the risk of acute kidney injury in patients with a hip fracture: a substudy protocol of the hip fracture Accelerated surgical Treatment and Care track (HIP ATTACK) international randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9(9):e033150.
9. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Acute Kidney Injury Work Group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 2012;2(2):1-138.
10. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A New Equation to Estimate Glomerular Filtration Rate. *Ann Intern Med.* 2009;150(9):604-612.